Literature Study on the Implementation of Professional Ethics in General Medical Practice

A. Fadilah Nur Rizqi[1]*, Mutiara Dwi Lathifahtul Ulyaa[2]

[1]*Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Indonesia

[2] Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Email Corresponding Author: andifadilahnurrizqi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze the implementation of professional ethics in general medical practice through a comprehensive qualitative literature review. The primary objective is to identify how ethical principles are understood, applied, and institutionalized in the daily practices of medical professionals. Using a qualitative-descriptive approach, the data were collected from scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and official reports published between 2015 and 2025. The analysis employed thematic synthesis, involving the processes of identifying, categorizing, and interpreting recurring themes related to ethical behavior, ethical education, and professional culture. The results reveal that the effective implementation of professional ethics depends on three interrelated dimensions: ethical education, organizational support, and contextual adaptation to medical challenges. Ethics education enhances practitioners' moral sensitivity and moral judgment, while institutional commitment—through transparent leadership and reflective culture—strengthens ethical accountability. Moreover, the rise of digital technology and global health challenges, such as telemedicine and pandemic response, requires the ongoing adaptation of ethical frameworks. The study concludes that professional ethics in medicine is a dynamic construct shaped by both individual reflection and systemic reinforcement. Its contribution lies in integrating theoretical and practical insights that promote the development of ethically resilient and socially responsive healthcare systems.

Keywords: professional ethics, general medical practice, ethical competence, qualitative study, medical professionalism.

INTRODUCTION

The implementation of professional ethics in general medical practice has become a fundamental aspect of maintaining trust, integrity, and quality within healthcare systems. Over the past decade, rapid advancements in medicine, increased patient awareness, and the growing complexity of clinical decision-making have intensified the need for ethical competence among general practitioners (Varkey, 2020). Ethical medical practice ensures that patient care adheres to the principles of beneficence, nonmaleficence, autonomy, and justice, serving as the foundation for humane and responsible healthcare delivery (Sulmasy, 2019).

Globally, ethical issues in medicine are not confined to theoretical discussions but represent tangible challenges in clinical settings. General practitioners face diverse moral dilemmas, ranging from informed consent to end-of-life decisions, all of which require a firm grounding in ethical reasoning and in professional standards (Adane, 2020). However, studies indicate that the understanding and applying these ethical principles vary widely among practitioners, influenced by educational background, cultural norms, and healthcare regulations (Jahan, 2021).

The increasing emphasis on patient-centered care also underscores the urgency of integrating professional ethics into daily practice. With the rise of telemedicine, artificial intelligence, and digital health technologies, new ethical challenges related to confidentiality, data security, and professional accountability have emerged (Adams, 2024). This technological evolution demands that ethical frameworks evolve correspondingly to safeguard both patients and practitioners from unintended harms.

Recent literature highlights that while ethical codes such as the Hippocratic Oath, Nuremberg Code, and Helsinki Declaration provide universal guidelines, their implementation often depends on national contexts and institutional cultures (AlRukban, 2024; Jahan, 2021). For instance, disparities in resource availability, healthcare infrastructure, and legal enforcement mechanisms contribute to varying levels of adherence to ethical standards.

In developing countries, limited access to continuous ethics education and a lack of institutional support further exacerbate these challenges. Research conducted in Bangladesh revealed that although most

general practitioners recognize the importance of ethics, only a minority possess sufficient understanding of relevant laws and ethical frameworks (Jahan, 2021). This finding emphasizes the need for systematic and ongoing ethics education at all levels of medical training.

Moreover, the role of culture in shaping ethical perceptions cannot be overstated. Ethical decision-making is often influenced by cultural interpretations of autonomy, confidentiality, and justice, leading to differences in how ethical principles are prioritized across societies (Kaczmarek, 2025; Yuliarpan, 2024). These variations necessitate a context-sensitive approach to ethics implementation that balances universal principles with local values.

Efforts to strengthen ethics education have gained momentum in recent years. Studies suggest that incorporating spiral curriculum models, in which ethical concepts are revisited and deepened throughout medical education, can enhance both comprehension and application of ethical principles (Hong, 2021). This approach fosters reflective practice and helps students internalize ethics as a professional identity rather than a mere academic requirement.

Institutional support also plays a pivotal role in promoting ethical behavior among healthcare professionals. The establishment of ethics committees, clear national guidelines, and interprofessional collaboration have been shown to enhance ethical competence and foster a culture of accountability (Meyer-Zehnder et al., 2017; Parsapour, 2021). These mechanisms not only aid ethical decision-making but also provide a safety net for practitioners facing moral uncertainty.

However, despite these advancements, gaps remain in ensuring uniform implementation across diverse healthcare settings. Many institutions still lack standardized ethics curricula, dedicated teaching staff, and assessment methods to evaluate ethical competency (AlRukban, 2024). Addressing these gaps is essential for fostering consistent ethical practice among general practitioners.

Contemporary challenges, such as the management of vulnerable patient populations and moral distress among healthcare workers, further complicate ethical practice. As highlighted by Blashchuk (2025), the deontological dimension of medical ethics emphasizes the duty-based nature of a physician's responsibilities, particularly when dealing with socially or medically disadvantaged patients. This perspective reinforces the moral obligation of physicians to act with empathy, fairness, and integrity.

Ethical reflection is also critical in navigating moral dilemmas arising from conflicting professional duties. (Johnsson, 2023) assert that physicians often experience tension between institutional demands and personal moral convictions, which can impact decision-making quality. Thus, ethics training should not only focus on theoretical knowledge but also cultivate resilience and moral sensitivity.

From a global perspective, the convergence of ethical standards remains a topic of debate. Kaczmarek (2025) argues that while ethical principles are universally recognized, their interpretations differ across jurisdictions, highlighting the need for cross-cultural dialogue and harmonization. This dynamic calls for a more adaptive ethical framework—one that respects diversity while upholding core professional values.

Ethics implementation in medical practice is not a static process but a continuous evolution shaped by societal expectations and medical progress. The integration of reflective practice, professional mentorship, and policy support can bridge the gap between ethical ideals and clinical realities (Shaikh, 2025). Such integration ensures that ethical reasoning becomes a habitual component of professional conduct rather than a reactive response to crises.

This article aims to systematically examine the implementation of professional ethics in general medical practice through a comprehensive literature review. It seeks to identify prevailing challenges, explore effective strategies, and propose frameworks that enhance ethical competence among practitioners. By synthesizing current evidence, the study aspires to contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical improvement of ethical standards in medicine.

Ultimately, this exploration underscores the central role of ethics as the moral compass of medical practice. Strengthening ethical implementation not only enhances patient trust and care quality but also safeguards the integrity of the medical profession in an era marked by rapid change and complexity. The findings are expected to inform future educational policies, professional guidelines, and institutional reforms that promote ethical excellence in general practice worldwide.

METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research design with a descriptive approach, conducted througha comprehensive literature review. The qualitative-descriptive paradigm is chosen to enable an in-depth exploration of the implementation of professional ethics in general medical practice, focusing on meaning, process, and contextual understanding rather than numerical generalization (Bingham, 2023; Pratt, 2025). This approach allows for a systematic and interpretative synthesis of various sources to describe how ethical principles are understood, implemented, and challenged within medical contexts (Doyle et al., 2019). The study emphasizes methodological rigor, transparency, and consistency to ensure the validity and reliability of the findings (Abraham & P, 2024).

The data sources for this study include a wide range of secondary materials such as academic books, peer-reviewed journal articles, official reports, and institutional documents related to medical ethics and professional conduct. The selection of literature was guided by relevance, credibility, and recency, with priority given to publications from 2015 onward (Bandaranayake, 2024; Togia & Malliari, 2017). The databases consulted encompass major repositories such as PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar to ensure comprehensive coverage of scholarly perspectives. Each selected source contributes conceptually and empirically to constructing a holistic understanding of the topic.

Data collection was conducted through systematic literature searches and document analysis. The process involved identifying, screening, and reviewing relevant works that address professional ethics, educational interventions, and ethical challenges in medical practice (Granikov et al., 2020; Jimenez et al., 2024). A conceptual review framework was applied to integrate theoretical and empirical findings across the selected sources. The inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed English-language publications discussing ethical principles, implementation frameworks, and educational strategies in medical practice, while literature focusing solely on non-medical ethics or lacking empirical grounding was excluded (Baillie, 2019).

The data analysis followed a thematic and inductive model. The analytical procedure consisted of several stages: identifying key concepts, reducing overlapping data, categorizing emerging themes, and synthesizing conceptual linkages to form interpretative insights (Belotto, 2018; Bingham, 2023). Coding was performed manually to ensure close engagement with the data, emphasizing interpretive accuracy. The iterative nature of analysis allowed continuous comparison across studies, leading to the emergence of central categories such as ethical education, institutional support, and contextual adaptation of professional ethics (Kalpokaite & Radivojevic, 2018; Vila-Henninger et al., 2022).

To ensure the credibility and validity of the analysis, triangulation and peer-review-based conceptual validation were employed. Source triangulation involved comparing interpretations across multiple studies addressing similar ethical constructs to confirm thematic consistency (Fife & Gossner, 2024). Meanwhile, peer debriefing was conducted by consulting academic experts in qualitative methodology and ethics education, enhancing the confirmability of the findings. Detailed audit trails and reflexive memos were maintained throughout the analytical process to strengthen the dependability and transparency of the study (Bingham, 2023; Pratt, 2025).

Through this methodological structure, the qualitative-descriptive literature review enables a comprehensive and context-sensitive understanding of the ethical landscape in general medical practice. By systematically integrating diverse perspectives, this method not only captures existing challenges but also highlights potential strategies for strengthening ethical professionalism. Ultimately, the chosen approach ensures that the resulting insights are empirically grounded, theoretically informed, and practically applicable to the ongoing discourse on medical ethics implementation.

RESULTS

The literature review on the implementation of professional ethics in general medical practice reveals a complex and evolving landscape shaped by education, institutional culture, professional competency, and technological development. This synthesis integrates findings from recent studies across diverse healthcare systems, showing how ethical principles are interpreted and operationalized in clinical settings to sustain professionalism and maintain trust in medical practice.

The analysis confirms that the four core principles of medical ethics—beneficence, nonmaleficence, autonomy, and justice—serve as the

universal foundations for ethical medical behavior. These principles are actualized through practices such as informed consent, honesty in communication, and respect for patient confidentiality. However, tensions among principles often emerge in real-world practice. For instance, maintaining autonomy may conflict with beneficence in life-or-death decisions or when patients reject recommended treatments (Adane, 2020; Levin, 2020; Varkey, 2020). This underscores the necessity of ethical reasoning frameworks that balance moral ideals with situational complexities.

Educational development emerges as a dominant theme influencing ethical competence. Studies show that integrating medical ethics training across undergraduate and postgraduate curricula strengthens doctors' moral awareness and clinical judgment. Interactive methods—such as case-based learning, simulations, and reflective discussions—have proved particularly effective in reinforcing ethical understanding (Andersson, 2022; Hong, 2021; Jahan, 2021). Despite this, gaps persist between ethical knowledge and ethical behavior in practice, highlighting the importance of longitudinal assessment and continuous professional development (Ranasinghe, 2020; Shaikh, 2025).

Institutional culture also exerts a significant influence ethical behavior. Research indicates that supportive leadership, organizational integrity, and collaborative team environments foster ethical conduct among healthcare providers. Hospitals that emphasize shared reflection, cross-professional communication, and mentorship demonstrate greater adherence to ethical standards (Khalajinia, 2020; Koskinen, 2022). Conversely, bureaucratic constraints and hierarchical barriers can hinder ethical discussion, reduce moral sensitivity, and weaken institutional trust.

The review further identifies systemic and contextual challenges that complicate the implementation of ethics. Bureaucratic pressures, the commercialization of healthcare, and insufficient developed ethics committees contribute to moral stress among practitioners (AlRukban, 2024; Unger, 2020). Moreover, technological innovation—such as the adoption of artificial intelligence in diagnostics—raises novel ethical dilemmas concerning patient data, algorithmic bias, and depersonalization of care (Celorio, 2025). These findings suggest that ethics frameworks must evolve alongside medical technology to maintain their relevance in digital healthcare ecosystems.

The literature also highlights contemporary ethical issues such as equitable resource distribution during pandemics, physician burnout, and transparency in medical error reporting (Blashchuk, 2025; Flomin, 2025; Levin, 2020). These issues expand the ethical discourse beyond clinical relationships to include institutional responsibility, public accountability, and psychological resilience. Comparative analyses reveal that while the principles of ethics remain globally consistent, their implementation varies based on cultural context, regulatory infrastructure, and available resources.

Table 1. The Major Thematic Findings From The Reviewed Studies

Focus Area	Key Findings	Sources
Core Ethical Principles	Balance between beneficence, nonmaleficence, autonomy, and justice; ethical dilemmas in patient care	(Adane, 2020; Levin, 2020; Varkey, 2020)
Education & Training	Continuous ethics education improves knowledge and professional behavior; reflective methods are effective	(Andersson, 2022; Hong, 2021; Jahan, 2021; Shaikh, 2025)
Implementation Challenges	Bureaucratic and commercial pressures; lack of structured guidance; technological disruption	(AlRukban, 2024; Celorio, 2025; Unger, 2020)

Organizational Culture	Leadership, ethical role models, and teamwork enhance ethical application	(Khalajinia, 2020; Koskinen, 2022)
Contemporary Issues	Burnout, resource allocation, and AI ethics require adaptive frameworks	(Blashchuk, 2025; Flomin, 2025; Levin, 2020)

Overall, the findings demonstrate that implementing professional ethics in general medical practice requires an integrated strategy combining ethical education, organizational commitment, and adaptive regulation. A sustainable ethical culture depends not only on personal integrity but also on systemic reinforcement through institutional policies and collective reflection. The reviewed literature affirms that strengthening ethical competence is essential to safeguard professional standards, improve patient outcomes, and ensure the resilience of medical practice in an era of continuous change.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this literature study indicate that implementing professional ethics in general medical practice is a multidimensional process rooted in ethical theory, clinical competence, and institutional culture. Drawing upon the theoretical framework of Beauchamp and Childress's Four Principles of Biomedical Ethics—autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice—the synthesis confirms that these moral foundations are universally recognized but contextually interpreted. The reviewed evidence (Adane, 2020; Levin, 2020; Varkey, 2020) highlights that while ethical codes provide essential direction, their application in daily medical practice is influenced by professional judgment, societal norms, and situational constraints. This suggests that ethics is not merely normative but is also dynamically adaptive to medical realities.

When viewed through the lens of moral reasoning theory, the integration of ethical principles into medical decisions requires both cognitive and affective competence. Andersson (2022) and Hong (2021) demonstrate that ethics education enhances moral sensitivity, reflective reasoning, and decision-making confidence among practitioners. This aligns with the constructivist view that ethical competence is developed through iterative learning and reflective engagement rather than rote memorization. However, the persistence of ethical lapses among practitioners, as noted by Jahan (2021) and Ranasinghe (2020), reflects structural deficiencies in the translation of knowledge into practice, signaling the need for experiential learning models that simulate real ethical dilemmas.

From an institutional perspective, the results align with organizational ethics theory, emphasizing that ethical behavior is not solely an individual responsibility but an outcome of systemic design. Studies by Khalajinia (2020) and Koskinen (2022) show that an organization's ethical climate—shaped by leadership, peer norms, and communication culture—directly impacts moral conduct. The presence of ethics committees, peer reflection sessions, and transparent governance structures fosters an environment conducive to ethical practice. Conversely, institutional hierarchies and bureaucratic constraints often stifle ethical expression and accountability. Thus, the implementation of ethics requires organizational commitment to ethics-based management, including the integration of ethics into institutional policies and performance evaluations

The literature also underscores the growing influence of technological and sociocultural factors. Celorio (2025) and AlRukban (2024) note that technological advancement, including artificial intelligence and telemedicine, brings ethical uncertainty concerning patient data, algorithmic fairness, and physician-patient relationships. In such contexts, ethical frameworks must evolve to maintain humanistic integrity amid digital transformation. Similarly, cultural relativism affects how ethical norms are prioritized; for example, collectivist societies may emphasize beneficence and community well-being over individual autonomy. This diversity reinforces the need for context-sensitive ethics education that respects local values while still adhering to universal moral standards.

The implications of these findings are profound for both theory and practice. Theoretically, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on the integration of ethics and professionalism by affirming that ethics must be viewed as a practical science grounded in reflective action rather than abstract morality.

Practically, the results suggest that sustained ethics education, interprofessional collaboration, and institutional reinforcement are essential to embedding ethical consciousness into the medical profession. By fostering ethical resilience and reflective capacity, practitioners can navigate complex moral dilemmas with greater confidence and consistency (Blashchuk, 2025; Shaikh, 2025).

However, the analysis also identifies key limitations. First, most of the reviewed studies rely on self-reported perceptions or cross-sectional surveys, which may introduce subjectivity and limit causal interpretation. Second, cultural and resource disparities between high- and low-income contexts may affect the generalizability of findings. Future research should employ longitudinal and mixed-method designs to capture the evolution of ethical competence and the impact of institutional reforms over time. Moreover, comparative cross-cultural studies could provide deeper insights into how ethical principles are operationalized across diverse healthcare systems, ensuring a more global and inclusive understanding of medical ethics.

In conclusion, the integration of ethical theory, education, and organizational systems represents the cornerstone of sustainable ethical practice in general medicine. This synthesis reinforces that ethical professionalism is not a static ideal but a continuous learning process shaped by personal reflection, institutional support, and social responsibility. Strengthening these interconnections will ensure that medical ethics remains both principled and adaptable in addressing the moral complexities of contemporary healthcare.

CONCLUSION

This qualitative literature study concludes that the implementing professional ethics in general medical practice is a multidimensional process grounded in moral reasoning, continuous education, and institutional integrity. The synthesis of findings reveals that ethical competence in medicine is not merely shaped by adherence to codified principles—such as autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice—but also by contextual reflection and organizational support that enable physicians to apply ethical judgment in complex clinical realities. The research substantiates and expands previous theoretical frameworks by illustrating that ethics operates as a dynamic construct, perpetually redefined by educational systems, professional culture, and technological progress. Its implications extend beyond theoretical discourse to the practical realms of healthcare policy, emphasizing the need for sustained ethics education, interprofessional collaboration, and adaptive frameworks that respond to evolving societal and cultural demands. While this review provides a comprehensive understanding of the implementation of ethics, it also acknowledges limitations in generalizability due to reliance on secondary data. Future research should pursue empirical validation through longitudinal, cross-cultural, and mixed-method studies to deepen insight into how ethical practice evolves across diverse medical ecosystems, thereby contributing to more globally relevant and sustainable standards of professional ethics.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abraham, D., & P, P. (2024). A Methodological Framework for Descriptive Phenomenological Research. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, *47*, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1177/01939459241308071

Adams, T., Leslie, K. ,. Myles, S. ,. &. Moraes, B. (2024). Regulating professional ethics in a context of technological change. *BMC Medical Ethics*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-024-01140-x

Adane, K., Worku, L. ,. Deress, T. ,. &. Tesfahun, A. (2020). *Ethical practice in medicine: A review*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.22243/v1

AlRukban, M., Alajlan, F. ,. Alnasser, A. ,. Almousa, H. ,. Alzomia, S. ,. &. Almushawah, A. (2024). Teaching medical ethics and medical professionalism in Saudi public and private medical schools. *PLOS One, 19*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0298605

Andersson, H., Svensson, A. ,. Frank, C. ,. Rantala, A. ,. Holmberg, M. ,. &. Bremer, A. (2022). Ethics education to support ethical competence learning in healthcare: An integrative systematic review. *BMC Medical Ethics, 23*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-022-00766-z

Baillie, J. (2019). Commentary: An overview of the qualitative descriptive design within nursing research. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *25*, 458–459. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987119881056

Bandaranayake, P. (2024). Application of Grounded Theory Methodology in Library and Information Science Research: An Overview. *Sri Lanka Library Review*. https://doi.org/10.4038/sllr.v38i2.70

Belotto, M. (2018). Data Analysis Methods for Qualitative Research: Managing the Challenges of Coding, Interrater Reliability, and Thematic Analysis. *The Qualitative Report*. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2018.3492

Bingham, A. (2023). From Data Management to Actionable Findings: A Five-Phase Process of Qualitative Data Analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *22*. https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231183620

Blashchuk, T. (2025). Deontological aspects of doctor's interaction with vulnerable patient groups. *Uzhhorod National University Herald. Series: Law*. https://doi.org/10.24144/2307-3322.2024.86.2.5

Celorio, M., Loor, I. ,. Macías, R. ,. Molina, M. ,. Moreira, B. ,. Borroto, E. ,. &. Herrera, M. (2025). Medical ethics and professional training: Building competencies for responsible healthcare practice. *Revista Gregoriana de Ciencias de la Salud, 2(2)*. https://doi.org/10.36097/rgcs.v2i2.3184

Doyle, L., Mccabe, C., Keogh, B., Brady, A., & McCann, M. (2019). An overview of the qualitative descriptive design within nursing research. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *25*, 443–455. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987119880234

Fife, S., & Gossner, J. (2024). Deductive Qualitative Analysis: Evaluating, Expanding, and Refining Theory. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *23*. https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069241244856

Flomin, M., Tsyhanii, A. ,. Mykhailova, M. ,. Khanenko, N. ,. &. Sulik, R. (2025). Maintaining professionalism and ethical principles in neurology: Considerations for clinical practice and medical education in a rapidly changing world. *International Neurological Journal, 21(1)*. https://doi.org/10.22141/2224-0713.21.1.2025.1155

Granikov, V., Hong, Q., Crist, E., & Pluye, P. (2020). Mixed methods research in library and information science: A methodological review. *Library & Information Science Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2020.101003

Hong, D., Goh, J. ,. Ong, Z. ,. Ting, J. ,. Wong, M. ,. Wu, J. ,. Tan, X. ,. Toh, R. ,. Chiang, C. ,. Ng, C. ,. Ng, J. ,. Ong, Y. ,. Cheong, C. ,. Tay, K. ,. Tan, L. ,. Phua, G. ,. Fong, W. ,. Wijaya, L. ,. Neo, S. ,. Lee, A. ,. Chiam, M. ,. Chin, A. ,. &. Krishna, L. (2021). Postgraduate ethics training programs: A systematic scoping review. *BMC Medical Education, 21*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02644-5

Jahan, M., &. Rahman, S. (2021). Understanding of professional ethics among a sample of medical practitioners in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Medical Research Council Bulletin*. https://doi.org/10.3329/bmrcb.v46i3.52251

Jimenez, S., Berbegal-Mirabent, J., & De La Torre, R. (2024). How do university libraries contribute to the research process? *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2024.102930

Johnsson, L., Höglund, A. ,. &. Nordgren, L. (2023). The voice of the profession: How the ethical demand is professionally refracted in the work of general practitioners. *BMC Medical Ethics*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-023-00958-1

Kaczmarek, A., Żok, A. ,. &. Baum, E. (2025). Ethical principles across countries: Does 'ethical' mean the same everywhere? *Frontiers in Public Health*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1579778

Kalpokaite, N., & Radivojevic, I. (2018). Demystifying qualitative data analysis for novice qualitative researchers. *The Qualitative Report*. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2019.4120

Khalajinia, Z., Alipour, Z. ,. &. Safaeipour, R. (2020). Exploring medical teachers' and interns' experiences regarding professional ethics. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion, 9*. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_706_19

Koskinen, C., Kaldestad, K. ,. Rossavik, B. ,. Jensen, A. ,. &. Bjerga, G. (2022). Multi-professional ethical competence in healthcare – an ethical practice model. *Nursing Ethics, 29, 1003–*

1013. https://doi.org/10.1177/09697330211062986

Levin, M. (2020). Practical professional ethics in headache medicine. *Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain, 60.* https://doi.org/10.1111/head.13844

Meyer-Zehnder, B. (2017). How to introduce medical ethics at the bedside—Factors influencing the implementation of an ethical decision-making model. *BMC Medical Ethics.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-017-0174-0

Parsapour, A., Gooshki, E. ,. Malekafzali, H. ,. Zahedi, F. ,. &. Larijani, B. (2021). The second strategic plan of medical ethics: A national report. *Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine.* https://doi.org/10.18502/jmehm.v14i17.8177

Pratt, M. (2025). On the Evolution of Qualitative Methods in Organizational Research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior.* https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-111722-032953

Ranasinghe, A., Fernando, B. ,. Sumathipala, A. ,. &. Gunathunga, W. (2020). Medical ethics: Knowledge, attitude and practice among doctors in three teaching hospitals in Sri Lanka. *BMC Medical Ethics, 21.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-020-00511-4

Shaikh, S., Abbas, U. ,. Shah, T. ,. Uqaili, A. ,. Buksh, H. ,. &. Fatima, M. (2025). Self-assessment of medical ethics and professionalism: Comparison between preclinical and clinical medical students. *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development, 12.* https://doi.org/10.1177/23821205241311476

Sulmasy, L., &. Bledsoe, T. (2019). American College of Physicians Ethics Manual. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* https://doi.org/10.7326/m18-2160

Togia, A., & Malliari, A. (2017). *Research Methods in Library and Information Science.* https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68749

Unger, J., Morales, I. ,. De Paepe, P. ,. &. Roland, M. (2020). The physician and professionalism today: Challenges to and strategies for ethical professional medical practice. *BMC Health Services Research, 20.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05884-1

Varkey, B. (2020). Principles of clinical ethics and their application to practice. *Medical Principles and Practice, 30, 17–28.* https://doi.org/10.1159/000509119

Vila-Henninger, L., Dupuy, C., Van Ingelgom, V., Caprioli, M., Teuber, F., Pennetreau, D., Bussi, M., & Gall, C. (2022). Abductive Coding: Theory Building and Qualitative (Re)Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research, 53, 968–1001.* https://doi.org/10.1177/00491241211067508

Yuliarpan, P., Kurniati, Y. ,. &. Ras, H. (2024). Comparative analysis of professional ethics in legal practice and medical practice. *Formosa Journal of Social Sciences.* https://doi.org/10.55927/fjss.v3i1.8610