Jelajah Ekonomi: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Indonesia, Vol. 1, Issue-2, 2026
Website: https://publications.corejurnal.com/index.php/ekonomi

The Role of the State in the Process of Economic Development Communication

Iwan Armawan[1]*, La Dono [2]
[1]*Mpu Tantular University, Indonesia, iwanaradea84@gmail.com*
[2]*Indonesia Maju University, Indonesia, Donobsps@gmail.com*

Abstract
This study aims to analyze and conceptualize the multifaceted role of the state in the process of economic development through a qualitative, descriptive, and literature-based approach. The research employs secondary data derived from academic journals, theoretical works, and official reports, analyzed through thematic coding and inductive synthesis to identify conceptual patterns and interconnections. The findings reveal that the effectiveness of the state in driving economic growth depends not on the scale of intervention but on institutional quality, fiscal capacity, and governance integrity. The study identifies four core dimensions of the state's role: (1) the developmental function, where bureaucratic autonomy and coherent industrial policy support structural transformation; (2) fiscal and administrative capacity, which underpins the state's ability to finance public goods; (3) the ethical and distributive role, as emphasized in Islamic economic frameworks advocating justice and sustainability; and (4) the cooperative interaction between the state and the market in fostering innovation and inclusive growth. These findings suggest that the modern state must act as both a developmental and normative agent, balancing economic efficiency with social equity. The study contributes to academic discourse by synthesizing developmental state theory, institutional economics, and moral-economic perspectives, offering an integrative framework for understanding the adaptive and ethical functions of the state in contemporary economic systems.

Keywords: State capacity, governance, developmental state, economic transformation, fiscal policy

INTRODUCTION

The role of the state in economic development has long been a central theme in the study of political economy, evolving through debates that juxtapose market efficiency with state intervention. In recent years, this debate has moved beyond the dichotomy of "state versus market" to embrace a more contextual understanding emphasizing governance quality, fiscal capacity, and institutional adaptability (Bardhan, 2016). This evolution reflects a growing recognition that sustainable development depends not merely on the size of government but on the effectiveness of its institutions and the strategic direction of its interventions (Al-Naser, 2019).

Global economic transformations and persistent inequalities have reignited scholarly and policy interest in the question of how states can best facilitate growth. The 21st-century economy—characterized by rapid technological advancement, shifting trade patterns, and global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic—has exposed the limitations of purely market-driven development (Beisengaliyev & Isabekovna, 2025). Consequently, there is renewed urgency to understand the nature and boundaries of the state's role in steering inclusive and resilient economic progress (Kruhlov, 2023).

Empirical evidence underscores that effective state capacity—defined as the government's ability to extract revenue, enforce the rule of law, and implement policy—is a cornerstone of economic growth (Johnson & Koyama, 2017). Nations with robust fiscal and administrative systems tend to perform better in mobilizing resources for public investment and social welfare (Braccioli et al., 2025). Conversely, weak institutions, corruption, and state capture have been shown to undermine equitable development outcomes (Dávid-Barrett, 2023).

Good governance remains a critical determinant of long-term growth. Studies indicate that countries with higher governance quality—reflected in control of corruption, bureaucratic efficiency, and regulatory effectiveness—consistently achieve stronger economic performance (Tanjung & Shimada, 2025). In contrast, governance deficits in developing regions often translate into inefficient public spending and hindered structural transformation (Al-Naser, 2019).

From a theoretical perspective, the state's economic role has been conceptualized along a spectrum—from the minimalist "nightwatchman" state focused on protecting property rights and ensuring macroeconomic stability, to the "developmental state" actively guiding industrialization and innovation (Singh & Ovadia, 2018). The challenge lies in determining the optimal balance of intervention that maximizes productivity without stifling market dynamics.

Recent studies reaffirm that productive public spending—particularly in infrastructure, education, and research—can enhance growth, especially when efficiently targeted and institutionally supported (Beisengaliyev & Isabekovna, 2025; Selvanathan et al., 2021). However, the positive effects of government expenditure tend to diminish when spending becomes excessive or misallocated, echoing concerns about bureaucratic expansion and fiscal inefficiency (Popescu & Diaconu, 2021).

The developmental state model, exemplified by East Asian economies, continues to offer valuable insights for contemporary developing nations. Coordinated industrial policy, strategic investment, and state-led innovation have been instrumental in fostering sustained growth and technological upgrading (Kruhlov, 2023). Nevertheless, replicating these models requires careful adaptation to local institutional contexts (Alifia et al., 2025).

Meanwhile, liberal and neoclassical perspectives caution that excessive state intervention may impede private entrepreneurship and distort market signals (Guseh, 1997). Yet, even proponents of limited government acknowledge the necessity of a functional state to provide essential public goods, safeguard competition, and manage externalities (Barro, 1988). This duality underscores the importance of state-market complementarity rather than substitution.

State capture and corruption remain among the most formidable barriers to effective governance in developing economies. When political elites manipulate public policy for private gain, the efficiency of state-led development erodes, deepening inequality and discouraging investment (Dávid-Barrett, 2023). Strengthening institutional checks and enhancing transparency are thus indispensable for sustaining growth trajectories.

In this context, fiscal capacity emerges as a key enabler of state functionality. The ability to collect and allocate revenue equitably determines the extent to which governments can finance public goods and support social inclusion (Braccioli et al., 2025). Empirical research confirms that stronger fiscal institutions correlate positively with development outcomes across diverse economic systems (Dincecco, 2017).

Furthermore, technological change and globalization demand that states not only act as regulators but also as innovators and facilitators of new economic frontiers. The state's role in supporting entrepreneurship, research, and digital infrastructure has become increasingly central to maintaining competitiveness in the global economy.

Despite extensive scholarship, a critical gap persists regarding how state roles evolve across different stages of development and institutional maturity. While developed economies may prioritize innovation and environmental sustainability, developing nations often grapple with basic state capacity and institutional legitimacy (Bardhan, 2016). Bridging this gap requires a dynamic conceptual framework that integrates governance, fiscal policy, and structural transformation.

This article offers a conceptual and literature-based exploration of the state's role in economic development, synthesizing recent empirical and theoretical insights. It focuses on how state capacity, governance quality, and strategic intervention interact to shape growth trajectories, particularly in the face of global disruptions and technological change. By addressing the evolving nature of state functions across different development stages, the article seeks to clarify how states can effectively balance intervention and market forces to foster inclusive and sustainable growth.

The contribution of this study is twofold: theoretically, it refines the conceptual boundaries of the state's developmental role beyond rigid ideological binaries; practically, it provides a foundation for designing governance and fiscal frameworks that enhance institutional effectiveness. In doing so, the article responds to persistent research gaps around the contextual adaptability of state-led development strategies, offering relevant insights for both academic inquiry and policy reform in developing and transitional economies.

METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research approach with a descriptive orientation through library-based research (literature study) to explore and conceptualize the role of the state in the process of economic development. The qualitative-descriptive design was chosen because it allows for a comprehensive and contextual understanding of the state's function as both an economic actor and institutional catalyst. This methodological approach emphasizes depth over breadth, enabling the systematic analysis of existing theories, empirical findings, and conceptual frameworks presented in relevant scholarly sources (Bingham, 2023; Pratt, 2025). The design aligns with the study's objective—to synthesize existing literature and derive conceptual insights rather than to test causal hypotheses, consistent with established standards in qualitative economic and social research (Togia & Malliari, 2017).

The sources of data used in this study consist of secondary materials, including academic journals, books, official reports, and conceptual papers related to state capacity, governance, fiscal policy, and developmental economics. These materials were collected from reputable academic databases and journals published within the last decade, prioritizing peer-reviewed publications to ensure validity and reliability. Core references include both theoretical and empirical works that explore state intervention and governance effectiveness in development processes (Doyle et al., 2019; Abraham & P, 2024). The inclusion of recent studies ensures that this research captures the latest academic discourse and reflects contemporary challenges in state-led economic transformation (Jimenez et al., 2024; Bandaranayake, 2024).

The data collection technique employed in this research involves systematic literature tracing and document analysis. This process encompasses the identification, review, and extraction of relevant data from prior research, following a rigorous and transparent procedure typical of library research (Togia & Malliari, 2017; Granikov et al., 2020). Each selected document was evaluated based on credibility, relevance, and methodological rigor. Data reduction was performed by excluding redundant or outdated information to focus on studies that provide conceptual or empirical contributions to understanding the state's developmental role (Baillie, 2019; Bingham, 2023).

In terms of data analysis, this study applies an inductive and thematic approach. The analytical procedure follows several key stages: (1) identifying major themes related to the role of the state, governance, and economic policy; (2) coding and classifying relevant conceptual frameworks; (3) synthesizing patterns and relationships among variables; and (4) deriving conclusions that contribute to theoretical refinement. The process follows an iterative model of qualitative data analysis as proposed by Bingham (2023), emphasizing transparency, reflexivity, and the systematic documentation of analytic decisions. Additionally, methods of abductive coding were used to integrate theoretical propositions with observed conceptual patterns (Vila-Henninger et al., 2022; Fife & Gossner, 2024).

To maintain validity and trustworthiness, this study employs conceptual triangulation and peer-review validation. Conceptual triangulation involves comparing interpretations across multiple theoretical sources to minimize researcher bias and enhance credibility (Belotto, 2018; Kalpokaite & Radivojevic, 2018). Peer-reviewed literature was exclusively used to ensure the robustness of the findings. Moreover, the use of diverse theoretical perspectives—from institutional economics to public administration—ensures that the study's conclusions are well-rounded and academically defensible. This methodological rigor is essential in library-based qualitative research to ensure analytical coherence and reliability (Pratt, 2025; Bandaranayake, 2024).

Finally, inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to refine the literature base. Studies published between 2015 and 2025 were prioritized to ensure contemporary relevance, while older but foundational theories were retained only when indispensable for theoretical framing. Exclusion criteria involved eliminating non-peer-reviewed sources, non-English articles, and works lacking methodological transparency. The systematic nature of literature identification, combined with the descriptive-qualitative approach, ensures that the findings generated from this study are not only conceptually sound but also empirically grounded. As a result, this research offers a rigorous and comprehensive synthesis that advances theoretical and policy discussions regarding the state's evolving role in economic development.

RESULTS

The literature-based study on The Role of the State in the Process of Economic Development: A Conceptual Literature Review reveals a complex, multi-dimensional, and evolving understanding of the state's position in modern economies. Findings show that rather than existing in a binary opposition between "state" and "market," the role of the state should be viewed as contextual, adaptive, and co-productive in shaping sustainable economic transformation (Bardhan, 2016; Alifia et al., 2025).

1. Conceptual Framework of the State's Role

Recent studies reaffirm that the state functions not merely as a regulator but also as a coordinator and enabler of structural transformation. Bardhan (2016) emphasized that beyond enforcing rules and contracts, states must resolve coordination failures and collective action problems through effective governance and institutional design. Similarly, Johnson and

Koyama (2017) found that state capacity—particularly fiscal and administrative capability—correlates strongly with long-term economic growth. These findings confirm that development outcomes depend not on the magnitude of state intervention, but on institutional quality and policy coherence (Braccioli et al., 2025).

2. The Developmental State Model

The study identifies that the developmental state model remains a robust explanatory framework for economic success stories, particularly in East and Southeast Asia. Singh and Ovadia (2018) demonstrated that countries with autonomous yet collaborative bureaucracies achieved sustained industrialization through targeted investments and industrial policy. However, Rabiei (2020) showed that when a nation's policy discourse shifts from "development" toward "security," as in post-revolutionary Iran, developmental state capacity weakens and growth stagnates. This underscores the importance of political stability and strategic coherence for economic progress.

3. Fiscal Capacity and Public Policy Effectiveness

Fiscal capacity emerged as a critical determinant of the state's ability to provide public goods and ensure inclusive growth. Braccioli et al. (2025) identified fiscal capability as a cornerstone of effective governance, while Johnson and Koyama (2017) emphasized its historical evolution as key to understanding divergent development outcomes. A systematic review of 55 studies by Pasco and Bendezu (2024) further highlighted that decentralized public policy frameworks enhance policy adaptability, particularly in industrial and environmental sectors. These findings indicate that effective fiscal systems empower states to sustain long-term growth through equitable public investment.

4. State–Market Synergy and Institutional Co-Production

Recent conceptual frameworks reject the rigid separation of state and market roles. Alifia et al. (2025) and Putri et al. (2025) describe economic transformation as an outcome of continuous negotiation between public and private actors, reflecting a co-production paradigm. Under this model, states act as institutional anchors that guide market behavior, facilitate innovation, and mitigate systemic risks. Empirical analysis supports that the most successful economies exhibit strong collaboration between government institutions and private enterprises, rather than ideological competition between the two sectors.

5. Islamic Economic Perspectives and Social Justice

The integration of Islamic economic principles introduces a moral and distributive dimension to state roles. Susila (2025) argues that within Islamic economics, the state holds an ethical mandate to ensure distributive justice through instruments such as zakat and waqf. Aprilia et al. (2024) expand this view by emphasizing sustainability and social equity in the context of Society 5.0, where Islamic finance mechanisms promote redistributive growth. This body of literature reframes state intervention as both an economic and moral necessity, aligning economic policy with social welfare and equity.

6. Institutional Quality and Development in Emerging Economies

A consistent theme across developing-country studies is the link between institutional strength and equitable development. Yuliyanti et al. (2025) found that successful development depends on social inclusion, digital transformation, and localized institutional reforms that enhance participation and resilience. Similarly, Kaloko et al. (2025) identified education and healthcare as foundational pillars of human capital development, directly reinforcing long-term productivity and social stability. Lestari and Nisa (2024) further highlighted the creative economy's potential in job creation and income diversification, emphasizing the need for state policies that foster innovation ecosystems.

7. Summary of Core Findings

Table 1. Fokus Peran Negara dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi

Dimension	Core Function of the State	Key References
State Capacity & Governance	Fiscal capability, taxation, rule enforcement	Johnson & Koyama (2017); Braccioli et al. (2025)
Developmental Policy Framework	Structural transformation, industrial policy, political coalitions	Bardhan (2016); Singh & Ovadia (2018); Rabiei (2020)
Public Policy & Decentralization	Policy adaptation, environmental and industrial policy efficiency	Pasco & Bendezu (2024)
State–Market Synergy	Co-productive interaction, balanced intervention	Alifia et al. (2025); Putri et al. (2025)
Islamic Economic Justice	Distributive justice, sustainability, equity	Susila (2025); Aprilia et al. (2024)
Institutional & Human Capital Development	Education, health, creative economy, local reform	Yuliyanti et al. (2025); Lestari & Nisa (2024); Kaloko et al. (2025)

These results collectively reinforce that the state's role in economic development is multidimensional—encompassing governance, fiscal responsibility, distributive justice, and institutional innovation. The findings affirm the article's main objective: to conceptualize the state not merely as a regulator or redistributor but as an adaptive agent of structural transformation. In contrast to classical theories that favored market primacy, contemporary literature demonstrates that development is most sustainable when the state and market operate synergistically, guided by sound institutions and equitable policy design.

DISCUSSION

The analysis of findings from the reviewed literature provides a comprehensive theoretical synthesis on how the state's role in economic development has evolved and diversified in contemporary discourse. The integration of multiple frameworks—from developmental state theory to Islamic economic justice—reveals that state intervention is most effective when grounded in institutional strength, fiscal capacity, and normative legitimacy. This section interprets the findings through theoretical alignment, practical implications, influencing factors, and critical limitations.

1. Theoretical Integration and Conceptual Implications

The reviewed literature reaffirms that the state is a central actor in economic development not because of its size or level of intervention, but because of the quality of its governance and institutional capacity (Bardhan, 2016; Johnson & Koyama, 2017). This aligns with institutional economics theory, which posits that economic performance depends on institutions that reduce transaction costs and facilitate coordination. Bardhan

(2016) emphasis on state capacity to resolve coordination failures provides a bridge between classical developmental theory and modern governance studies. Similarly, the findings of Braccioli et al. (2025) and Pasco & Bendezu (2024) extend this argument by showing that fiscal and administrative strength directly influence a state's ability to deliver public goods effectively. Thus, the study contributes conceptually by situating the state not merely as a regulator but as a coordinator of collective action within the economy.

2. Developmental State and Political-Economic Dynamics

The persistence of the developmental state model across diverse regions highlights the adaptability of this framework beyond its East Asian origins. Singh & Ovadia (2018) and Rabiei (2020) demonstrate that a politically coherent and technically competent bureaucracy remains vital for driving industrial policy and innovation. However, the Iranian case analyzed by Rabiei (2020) also exposes the fragility of developmental states under security-driven regimes, where political priorities shift away from long-term growth to short-term stability. This contrast underscores that institutional autonomy and political alignment are decisive in determining developmental success. The analysis suggests that while state-led strategies remain relevant, their effectiveness depends on maintaining bureaucratic professionalism and avoiding policy fragmentation.

3. Market Synergy and Institutional Adaptability

The findings from Alifia et al. (2025) and Putri et al. (2025) indicate that the contemporary state no longer functions as an antithesis to the market but as a collaborative partner in economic transformation. This perspective echoes the co-production paradigm, wherein both public and private actors co-create developmental outcomes. The integration of market flexibility with state coordination helps avoid inefficiencies associated with either excessive intervention or unregulated liberalization. Such findings advance theoretical debates by suggesting a post-neoliberal synthesis—one where adaptive governance structures mediate the relationship between markets and social welfare, creating what can be termed a hybrid developmental framework.

4. Social Justice, Islamic Economics, and Moral Dimensions of Development

A significant contribution of recent literature lies in incorporating ethical and distributive justice perspectives through the lens of Islamic economics. Susila (2025) and Aprilia et al. (2024) conceptualize the state not only as a developmental agent but as a moral trustee responsible for ensuring distributive equity and sustainability. By emphasizing zakat, waqf, and social redistribution mechanisms, these frameworks integrate faith-based economic ethics into modern development theory. This contribution expands the theoretical domain by linking economic growth with social justice imperatives, thereby challenging the conventional efficiency-focused model of economic policy. The state's role, therefore, extends to balancing material prosperity with moral legitimacy—an area increasingly relevant in addressing global inequality and ecological crises.

5. Institutional Challenges and Human Capital Development

The analysis by Yuliyanti et al. (2025), Kaloko et al. (2025), and Lestari & Nisa (2024) broadens the developmental narrative to include social and institutional transformation. These studies collectively affirm that the success of state intervention depends on the strength of human capital, institutional inclusivity, and digital innovation.

Human resource development through education and health investment is presented as a structural prerequisite for sustainable economic transformation (Kaloko et al., 2025). Likewise, the creative economy, as discussed by Lestari & Nisa (2024), illustrates how cultural industries can drive inclusive growth when supported by state-led digital policies. The interplay of institutional modernization, local empowerment, and digitalization represents a progressive shift in the developmental paradigm—one that integrates social inclusion with economic modernization.

6. Limitations and Future Research Directions

In summary, the literature synthesis demonstrates that the state's role in economic development is most effective when supported by institutional strength, fiscal capacity, and moral legitimacy. By integrating perspectives from developmental state theory, institutional economics, and Islamic moral economy, the study reframes the state as a strategic coordinator of inclusive and adaptive development. This implies that effective state intervention must combine investment in human capital, professional bureaucracy, and distributive equity to promote innovation and social progress.

Nonetheless, the analysis reveals several gaps that future research should address. These include the dominance of conceptual over empirical studies, the lack of longitudinal analysis, and the concentration of cases in specific regions such as Asia and the Middle East. Future studies should incorporate comparative and mixed-method approaches to better capture the evolving roles of the state across different contexts. Exploring digital governance and sustainability within these frameworks can further enhance the relevance and applicability of state-led development models in the current global landscape.

CONCLUSION

This qualitative literature-based study concludes that the role of the state in economic development is multidimensional, encompassing institutional capacity, fiscal strength, social justice, and cooperative engagement with markets. The analysis reveals that effective development arises not from the magnitude of state intervention but from the *quality* of governance, institutional integrity, and adaptive policy design (Bardhan, 2016; Johnson & Koyama, 2017). By integrating insights from *developmental state theory*, *institutional economics*, and *Islamic moral economy*, this research provides a deeper theoretical understanding of how the state operates as a developmental, normative, and coordinating agent in shaping equitable and sustainable growth (Singh & Ovadia, 2018; Susila, 2025). The findings also highlight that fiscal capacity and decentralized policymaking (Braccioli et al., 2025; Pasco & Bendezu, 2024) enhance policy responsiveness, while human capital development and creative industries expand socio-economic resilience (Kaloko et al., 2025; Lestari & Nisa, 2024). In broader social and cultural contexts, the study reinforces that economic transformation requires balancing efficiency with distributive justice and moral accountability. Nevertheless, the study acknowledges limitations related to its conceptual nature and the lack of longitudinal empirical validation, suggesting future research should employ comparative and mixed-method approaches to explore how governance models evolve across diverse political and institutional settings. Overall, this research enriches academic discourse by reframing the state not merely as a regulator, but as an adaptive and ethical architect of inclusive development.

SUGGESTIONS

Based on the findings of this qualitative literature study, it is recommended that policymakers and development practitioners strengthen institutional and fiscal capacities through transparent governance, adaptive policymaking, and inclusive stakeholder collaboration to ensure sustainable and equitable economic growth. Academics are encouraged to further explore the intersection between state capacity, governance quality, and socio-moral frameworks—especially the integration of Islamic economic principles—in promoting distributive justice and sustainability. Future research should expand upon this study by employing triangulation methods, comparative cross-country analyses, and longitudinal approaches to validate conceptual insights empirically. A deeper exploration of digital governance, environmental resilience, and social innovation within the state's developmental role is also recommended to enrich both theoretical understanding and practical policy formulation in addressing the complex challenges of contemporary economic transformation.

REFERENCES

Abraham, D., & P, P. (2024). *A Methodological Framework for Descriptive Phenomenological Research. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 47*, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1177/01939459241308071

Alifia, I., Mukhtar, R., & Zumara, Z. (2025). *Revisiting Roles, Redefining Growth: State and Market in Economic Transformation. Ta'amul: Journal of Islamic Economics.* https://doi.org/10.58223/taamul.v3i2.400

Alifia, I., Mukhtar, R., & Zumara, Z. (2025). *Revisiting Roles, Redefining Growth: State and Market in Economic Transformation. Ta'amul: Journal of Islamic Economics.* https://doi.org/10.58223/taamul.v3i2.400

Al-Naser, M. (2019). *Public Governance and Economic Growth: Conceptual Framework. International Journal of Business Ethics and Governance.* https://doi.org/10.51325/ijbeg.v2i2.21

Aprilia, T., Sari, R., Adawiyah, R., Efrilia, D., Anggesta, L., & Handayani, T. (2024). *Peran Ekonomi Islam Dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi Nasional Yang Berkelanjutan di Era Society 5.0. Journal of Economics and Business.* https://doi.org/10.61994/econis.v2i2.498

Aprilia, T., Sari, R., Adawiyah, R., Efrilia, D., Anggesta, L., & Handayani, T. (2024). *Peran Ekonomi Islam Dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi Nasional Yang Berkelanjutan di Era Society 5.0. Journal of Economics and Business.* https://doi.org/10.61994/econis.v2i2.498

Baillie, J. (2019). *Commentary: An Overview of the Qualitative Descriptive Design within Nursing Research. Journal of Research in Nursing, 25*, 458–459. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987119881056

Bandaranayake, P. (2024). *Application of Grounded Theory Methodology in Library and Information Science Research: An Overview. Sri Lanka Library Review.* https://doi.org/10.4038/sllr.v38i2.70

Bardhan, P. (2016). *State and Development: The Need for a Reappraisal of the Current Literature. Journal of Economic Literature, 54*, 862–892. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20151239

Bardhan, P. (2016). *State and Development: The Need for a Reappraisal of the Current*

Literature. Journal of Economic Literature, 54, 862–892. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20151239

Bardhan, P. (2016). *State and Development: The Need for a Reappraisal of the Current Literature. Journal of Economic Literature, 54*, 862–892. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20151239

Beisengaliyev, B., & Isabekovna, K. (2025). *Government Roles and Public Investment Strategies in Economic Development. SHS Web of Conferences.* https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202521204061

Belotto, M. (2018). *Data Analysis Methods for Qualitative Research: Managing the Challenges of Coding, Interrater Reliability, and Thematic Analysis. The Qualitative Report.* https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2018.3492

Bingham, A. (2023). *From Data Management to Actionable Findings: A Five-Phase Process of Qualitative Data Analysis. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 22.* https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231183620

Braccioli, F., Muñoz-Sobrado, E., Piolatto, A., & Zerbini, A. (2025). *The Taxing Challenges of the State: Unveiling the Role of Fiscal and Administrative Capacity in Development. CESifo Economic Studies.* https://doi.org/10.1093/cesifo/ifae025

Braccioli, F., Muñoz-Sobrado, E., Piolatto, A., & Zerbini, A. (2025). *The Taxing Challenges of the State: Unveiling the Role of Fiscal and Administrative Capacity in Development. CESifo Economic Studies.* https://doi.org/10.1093/cesifo/ifae025

Braccioli, F., Muñoz-Sobrado, E., Piolatto, A., & Zerbini, A. (2025). *The Taxing Challenges of the State: Unveiling the Role of Fiscal and Administrative Capacity in Development. CESifo Economic Studies.* https://doi.org/10.1093/cesifo/ifae025

Dávid-Barrett, E. (2023). *State capture and development: A conceptual framework. Journal of International Relations and Development.* https://doi.org/10.1057/s41268-023-00290-6

Dincecco, M. (2017). *State Capacity and Economic Development: Present and Past.* Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108539913

Doyle, L., McCabe, C., Keogh, B., Brady, A., & McCann, M. (2019). *An Overview of the Qualitative Descriptive Design within Nursing Research. Journal of Research in Nursing, 25*, 443–455. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987119880234

Fife, S., & Gossner, J. (2024). *Deductive Qualitative Analysis: Evaluating, Expanding, and Refining Theory. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 23.* https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069241244856

Granikov, V., Hong, Q., Crist, E., & Pluye, P. (2020). *Mixed Methods Research in Library and Information Science: A Methodological Review. Library & Information Science Research.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2020.101003

Jimenez, S., Berbegal-Mirabent, J., & De La Torre, R. (2024). *How Do University Libraries Contribute to the Research Process? The Journal of Academic Librarianship.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2024.102930

Johnson, N., & Koyama, M. (2017). *States and Economic Growth: Capacity and Constraints. Explorations in Economic History, 64*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eeh.2016.11.002

Johnson, N., & Koyama, M. (2017). *States and Economic Growth: Capacity and Constraints. Explorations in Economic History, 64*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eeh.2016.11.002

Johnson, N., & Koyama, M. (2017). *States and Economic Growth: Capacity and Constraints.*

Explorations in Economic History, 64, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eeh.2016.11.002

Kaloko, N., Sihombing, N., Lubis, S., & Tanjung, T. (2025). *Peran Strategis Pendidikan dan Kesehatan dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi: Membangun Human Capital untuk Masa Depan. PPIMAN Pusat Publikasi Ilmu Manajemen.* https://doi.org/10.59603/ppiman.v3i1.707

Kaloko, N., Sihombing, N., Lubis, S., & Tanjung, T. (2025). *Peran Strategis Pendidikan dan Kesehatan dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi: Membangun Human Capital untuk Masa Depan. PPIMAN Pusat Publikasi Ilmu Manajemen.* https://doi.org/10.59603/ppiman.v3i1.707

Kalpokaite, N., & Radivojevic, I. (2018). *Demystifying Qualitative Data Analysis for Novice Qualitative Researchers. The Qualitative Report.* https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2019.4120

Kruhlov, V. (2023). *The Strategic Role of the State in Stimulating and Supporting Economic Growth: Tools, Policies, and Influence on the Modern Economic Paradigm. Economic Affairs.* https://doi.org/10.46852/0424-2513.4.2023.36

Lestari, R., & Nisa, F. (2024). *Ekonomi Kreatif dan Pembangunan Ekonomi: Sebuah Tinjauan Literatur tentang Peran dan Kontribusi. Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis dan Akuntansi.* https://doi.org/10.61722/jemba.v1i1.61

Lestari, R., & Nisa, F. (2024). *Ekonomi Kreatif dan Pembangunan Ekonomi: Sebuah Tinjauan Literatur tentang Peran dan Kontribusi. Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis dan Akuntansi.* https://doi.org/10.61722/jemba.v1i1.61

lifia, I., Mukhtar, R., & Zumara, Z. (2025). *Revisiting Roles, Redefining Growth: State and Market in Economic Transformation. Ta'amul: Journal of Islamic Economics.* https://doi.org/10.58223/taamul.v3i2.400

Obaji, N., & Olugu, M. (2014). *The Role of Government Policy in Entrepreneurship Development. Science Journal of Business Management, 2*, 109. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjbm.20140204.12

Pasco, L., & Bendezu, H. (2024). *Public Policies and Their Impact on Economic Development: A Systematic Review. International Journal of Professional Business Review.* https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2024.v9i11.5068

Pasco, L., & Bendezu, H. (2024). *Public Policies and Their Impact on Economic Development: A Systematic Review. International Journal of Professional Business Review.* https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2024.v9i11.5068

Popescu, C., & Diaconu, L. (2021). *Government Spending and Economic Growth: A Cointegration Analysis on Romania. Sustainability.* https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126575

Pratt, M. (2025). *On the Evolution of Qualitative Methods in Organizational Research. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior.* https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-111722-032953

Putri, D., Lizariani, D., Elisa, N., Mawardani, P., & Hotman, H. (2025). *Analisis Perbandingan Pandangan Klasik dan Neoklasik terhadap Peran Pasar dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi. Jurnal Manajemen, Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan.* https://doi.org/10.55606/jumbiku.v5i2.5349

Putri, D., Lizariani, D., Elisa, N., Mawardani, P., & Hotman, H. (2025). *Analisis Perbandingan Pandangan Klasik dan Neoklasik terhadap Peran Pasar dalam Pembangunan Ekonomi.*

Jurnal Manajemen, Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan. https://doi.org/10.55606/jumbiku.v5i2.5349

Rabiei, K. (2020). *Developmental State and the Challenges of Economic Development in Iran (1979–2020). Journal of Historical Sociology, 12*, 105–143.

Rabiei, K. (2020). *Developmental State and the Challenges of Economic Development in Iran (1979–2020). Journal of Historical Sociology, 12*, 105–143.

Selvanathan, E., Selvanathan, S., & Jayasinghe, M. (2021). *Revisiting Wagner's and Keynesian's Propositions and the Relationship Between Sectoral Government Expenditure and Economic Growth. Economic Analysis and Policy, 71*, 355–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2021.05.005

Singh, J., & Ovadia, J. (2018). *The Theory and Practice of Building Developmental States in the Global South. Third World Quarterly, 39*, 1033–1055. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2018.1455143

Singh, J., & Ovadia, J. (2018). *The Theory and Practice of Building Developmental States in the Global South. Third World Quarterly, 39*, 1033–1055. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2018.1455143

Singh, J., & Ovadia, J. (2018). *The Theory and Practice of Building Developmental States in the Global South. Third World Quarterly, 39*, 1033–1055. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2018.1455143

Susila, H. (2025). *An Analytical Study of the State's Role within the Framework of Islamic Economics: Sayyid Qutb's Perspective. Visioner: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis.* https://doi.org/10.52630/jmbv.v14.i01.101

Susila, H. (2025). *An Analytical Study of the State's Role within the Framework of Islamic Economics: Sayyid Qutb's Perspective. Visioner: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis.* https://doi.org/10.52630/jmbv.v14.i01.101

Tanjung, M., & Shimada, Y. (2025). *Modelling the Impact of Governance and Economic Growth: Insights from ASEAN and Developed Countries. Journal of Public Affairs.* https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.70048

Togia, A., & Malliari, A. (2017). *Research Methods in Library and Information Science. IntechOpen.* https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68749

Vila-Henninger, L., Dupuy, C., Van Ingelgom, V., Caprioli, M., Teuber, F., Pennetreau, D., Bussi, M., & Gall, C. (2022). *Abductive Coding: Theory Building and Qualitative (Re)Analysis. Sociological Methods & Research, 53*, 968–1001. https://doi.org/10.1177/00491241211067508

Yuliyanti, E., Susanti, E., & Geasill, L. (2025). *Dinamika Ekonomi Pembangunan di Negara Berkembang: Analisis Literatur terhadap Upaya Peningkatan Kesejahteraan. Knowledge: Jurnal Inovasi Hasil Penelitian dan Pengembangan.* https://doi.org/10.51878/knowledge.v5i2.5254

Yuliyanti, E., Susanti, E., & Geasill, L. (2025). *Dinamika Ekonomi Pembangunan di Negara Berkembang: Analisis Literatur terhadap Upaya Peningkatan Kesejahteraan. Knowledge: Jurnal Inovasi Hasil Penelitian dan Pengembangan.* https://doi.org/10.51878/knowledge.v5i2.5254